

TIME \@ "dddd, dd MMMM yyyy" Thursday, 26 February 2004

## REVISED PERA PROPOSALS

Conclusions after response to our proposals from all “corners” of the eventing world including officials and organisers;

### THE FORMATS FOR EVENTING:

The Short Format is a fact. It should be promoted as at Three-day event.

Distances should be more or less as they are at the moment for CCI's although more experience is needed before finalising them.

The CIC should stay. They should be short and the possibility of having X.C last should stay. Rules should stay as they are. There are some concerns that the S.J. should not get any bigger. World cup events should not be bigger than a normal CIC \*\*\*.

CCI Long: Most people would love to see them stay but also except that less and less organisers would be prepared to do so, and that therefore the “Two Track” system might not be realistic, also too many Championships might not be good for the sport in the long run and it would be very hard for riders and horses to prepare for both Long and Short Championships.

Fears remain: About the safety of the Short Format. Horses not arriving at the start prepared enough. Riders, relatively, having to go faster than at the current three day events. What will happen to our sport in case our sport will be no longer part of the Olympic program?

We therefore ask the FEI to keep working together with riders, officials in particular CD's and Ground Jury's, organisers vets and coaches (NF's) to ensure a healthy development of the “SHORT FORMAT”

Qualifications: Keep them as simple as possible and above all practical!

In answer to the Paper of 6 Feb 04:

Point 3 we ask to look into the possibility to start the FEI qualifications at 3 star level.

Point 4. We do agree with Qualifying results but feel the current rules need revising.

We do not like the fact that the overall score is not taken into account. See comments made in our first letter of PERA proposals.

Point 8: Considering all developments, we are not sure this is still relevant.

Point d.: We feel that this is no longer true or practical.

Qualification proposal: We are not sure CNC's should be part of the system.

Maybe this should be a recommendation + guide line for NF's.

The Dressage: We can see that ½ marks and the proposal of scoring by “words” will not work. They were proposed by some members and at least started a good discussion. We do feel that discussion about marking amongst Judges (as in pure dressage) should take place more often, and as soon as possible or even at the bigger events. Suggestions from top pure dressage judges and riders like Carl Hester would be welcome.

The Show Jumping: Most responses to our proposals agreed that the time pen. often played too big a role. It was also agreed that for now the S.J. should not get any bigger.

Qualifying Results:

Qualification for the Olympics and a new scoring system:

**Most of the people who responded agreed that all three must be looked at again  
More simple, Clearer and therefore more easy to understand.**

**A lot liked the idea of a positive scoring system although there is the down side of going  
in to neg. points. Most agreed that the 1 for 1 score in the dressage 68 % = 6872% = 72  
looked good. (Whether it was then deducted from 100 to come to a negative score or use  
it as that to come to a positive scoring system) This is as the Clayton Fredericks proposal  
and the one used by BE. It is a proven scoring system that works for one dayevents, and  
therefore could be used as a universal scoring system all over the world.**

**PERA**

PAGE

PAGE 2